

EXHIBIT 17

EARL PARRIS, JR., ET AL. vs 3M COMPANY, ET AL.**Anthony Strickland on 11/17/2022****30(b)(6)**

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
3 ROME DIVISION

4 EARL PARRIS, JR., § CASE NO.
5 Individually, and on § 4:21-cv-00040-TWT
6 Behalf of a Class of §
7 Persons Similarly §
8 Situated, §
9 §
10 Plaintiff, §
11 §
12 CITY OF SUMMERVILLE, §
13 GEORGIA §
14 §
15 Intervenor-Plaintiff, §
16 §
17 vs. §
18 §
19 3M COMPANY, DAIKIN §
20 AMERICA, INC., HUNTSMAN §
21 INTERNATIONAL, LLC, §
22 PULCRA CHEMICALS, LLC, §
23 MOUNT VERNON MILLS, INC., §
24 TOWN OF TRION, GEORGIA, §
25 and RYAN DEJUAN JARRETT, §
26 §
27 Defendants. §
28 ~~~~~

29 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF MOUNT VERNON MILLS, INC.
30 ANTHONY STRICKLAND

31 2:08 p.m. EST
32 Thursday, the 17th day of November 2022

EARL PARRIS, JR., ET AL. vs 3M COMPANY, ET AL.**30(b)(6)****Anthony Strickland on 11/17/2022****Page 263**

1 if he had a time frame limitation in
2 preparing for this deposition and he said
3 no. Did you give him one?

4 MR. DROZE: He prepared -- he
5 indicated he prepared based on the
6 documents we provided.

7 MR. DAVIS: Okay. And those documents
8 you have limited to 2016.

9 MR. DROZE: No, there's at least 500
10 of them that predate that. They apparently
11 escaped the filter for 2016. So there are
12 documents that predate that, and you
13 obviously have documents that you obtained
14 from other litigation that you've used in
15 this deposition.

16 MR. DAVIS: Which shows how ridiculous
17 the limitation is, but anyway...

18 MR. DROZE: Let's not go down that
19 path.

20 MR. DAVIS: We're going to.

21 MR. DROZE: We don't need that
22 characterization or that kind of
23 impropriety in the record. It's an
24 objection, you disagree with it, and that's
25 fine. We'll take it up with the Court if